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In November 2009 the previous Government published six draft energy NPSs and associated documents for public consultation and Parliamentary scrutiny. In the House of Commons, the previous Energy and Climate Change (ECC) Select Committee scrutinised the draft energy NPSs and published a report (HC 231-I, session 2009-10, ISBN 9780215545237) of its findings. This included a recommendation that the draft NPSs should be subject to a debate in the main chamber of the House of Commons. This debate took place on 1st December 2010 on the basis of revised draft NPSs and a number of issues were raised there. This is the Government response to the 18 recommendations made by the Energy and Climate Change Select Committee to the revised NPSs.
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**Parliamentary Scrutiny of the Co-decision Procedure and the Government's**


Parliament-Alexander Horne 2016-06-02 This collection of essays by leading academics, lawyers, parliamentarians and parliamentary officials provides a critical assessment of the UK Parliament's two main constitutional roles-as a legislature and as the preeminent institution for calling government to account. Both functions are undergoing change and facing new challenges. Part 1 (Legislation) includes chapters on Parliament's emerging responsibilities for pre-legislative scrutiny of government Bills and for evaluating proposed legislation against explicit constitutional standards. The impact on legislation of the European Union and the growing influence of the House of Lords are also examined. Part 2 (Accountability) investigates how Parliament operates to scrutinise areas of executive action previously often shielded from effective
parliamentary oversight, including national security, war-making powers and administrative justice. There are also chapters on parliamentary reform, including analysis of the House of Commons 'Wright reforms', parliamentary sovereignty, privilege and the European Convention on Human Rights, Euroscepticism, and parliamentary sovereignty and the regulation of lobbyists. The book will be of interest to anyone who is curious about the work of Parliament and is aimed at legal academics, practitioners and political scientists.


Some parliamentarians feel that they lack adequate information and support or expertise to hold the government to account effectively. Of the many concerns, the volume and complexity of information provided, and the limited time available to fully understand the documents and "connect the dots" are only the tip of the iceberg. Members of this Committee hope that the government will make the process more coherent, provide clearer, more consistent and reliable information, and ensure that any member of Parliament can have a good understanding and a common reference point to better study the government's spending plans. This report is based on the testimony heard over several months from various witnesses, including former members of Parliament, departmental...
officials, academics, international experts, the Auditor General of Canada, the Parliamentary Budget Officer, a former Clerk of the House of Commons and other knowledgeable observers. After presenting a brief history of the Business of Supply in Canada, this report sets out the Committee's findings and recommendations in light of this testimony.

Parliament and the Law (Second Edition) is an edited collection of essays, supported by the UK's Study of Parliament Group, including contributions by leading constitutional lawyers, political scientists and parliamentary officials. It provides a wide-ranging overview of the ways in which the law applies to, and impacts upon, the UK Parliament, and it considers how recent changes to the UK's constitutional arrangements have affected Parliament as an institution. It includes authoritative discussion of a number of issues of topical concern, such as: the operation of parliamentary privilege, the powers of Parliament's select committees, parliamentary scrutiny, devolution, English Votes for English Laws, Members' conduct and the governance of both Houses. It also contains chapters on financial scrutiny, parliamentary sovereignty, Parliament and human rights, and the administration of justice. Aimed mainly at legal academics, practitioners, and political scientists, it will also be of interest to anyone who is curious about the many fascinating ways in which the law interacts with and influences the work, the constitutional status and the procedural arrangements of the Westminster Parliament.

Parliamentary Scrutiny of European Legislation- 2016

The Growth of Parliamentary Scrutiny by Committee-Alfred Morris 2016-06-06 The Growth of Parliamentary Scrutiny by Committee


Parliament and Government Finance-Great Britain. Parliament. House of Commons. Liaison Committee 2008 Parliamentary scrutiny of the Government's finances needs to be improved. The purpose of scrutiny is to make the government's financial decisions transparent, to give those outside Parliament opportunity to comment, to have the opportunity to influence the Government's financial decisions and to hold the Government, departments and other public bodies to account. The complexity of the Government's financial system is a major problem. There are: departmental budgets determined in spending reviews; estimates; and resource accounts. Complicated reconciliations are needed to relate one to another. The Treasury has
started an Alignment Project which should improve consistency and continuity between these three types of document. Parliament is not receiving the information required for effective scrutiny. Financial reporting to Parliament should: include the information that departmental managers use to monitor performance, rather than just financial control and audit information; enable an overall view of planned expenditure; highlight the information which is significant; relate the information to objectives and to what is achieved by spending the money; identify key risks; use graphs; be provided in good time; use plain English; and enable an assessment of the quality of financial management. The Committee makes specific proposals based on these principles. Select committees and the House should, together, engage with financial issues before the Government makes decisions. The House should take back the right to debate and vote on individual government programmes or items of expenditure, and more than three days a year (the current allotment) should be made available for this purpose.


European Legislation Select Committee: European Legislation Select Committee Second Special Report (Parliamentary Scrutiny on the Co-decision Procedure and the Government Response to the First Special Report on Scrutiny After Maastricht) with Minutes of Proceedings- 1993

Parliamentary Scrutiny and Approval of the Withdrawal Agreement and Negotiations on a Future Relationship- 2018

Improving the
effectiveness of parliamentary scrutiny: (a) Select committee amendments (b) Explanatory statements on amendments (c) Written parliamentary questions-

Great Britain: Parliament: House of Commons: Procedure Committee 2011-03-09 This report builds on work undertaken in the previous Parliament. It contains three sets of recommendations which share a common aim of improving the effectiveness of parliamentary scrutiny. Firstly the Committee recommends that select committees be allowed to table in their own amendments to bills and motions on the floor of the House. Secondly it is recommended that during this Parliament Members and opposition spokespeople be encouraged to table explanatory statements on amendments to bills and that the Government use this facility to provide explanatory statements to clarify the origin of amendments and new clauses proposed on report. Thirdly the Committee recognises that whilst written parliamentary questions are a vital part of parliamentary scrutiny, there is a danger that their value is being eroded by the record numbers being tabled which also imposes significant costs on the public purse. The Committee therefore proposes a three month trial of applying a daily quota of five and an earlier deadline of 6.30 pm from Monday to Thursday and 2.30 pm on sitting Fridays to questions for written answer submitted electronically. They also recommend that, to assist Members, the Government deliver all answers to parliamentary questions to the Member concerned by email at the same time as the answer is delivered to the House.

threatens to leave the EU's inter-governmental Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) without inter-parliamentary scrutiny. The inter-governmental nature of decision-making in the CFSP and CSDP, and the significance of the CFSP and CSDP activities to which EU Member States may agree, make it important that inter-parliamentary oversight should be continued, with national parliaments taking the lead. This short Report puts forward a proposal for successor arrangements to the WEU Assembly which has been drawn up in a process of consultation among relevant Select Committee Chairs of both Houses of Parliament, with a view to putting this proposal before each House for its approval. The Report recommends that the WEU Assembly should be succeeded by an EU Inter-parliamentary Conference on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Security (COFADS). COFADS would secure continued inter-parliamentary scrutiny of this area of EU activity, would not be an autonomous institution and would minimise costs, while adding value to the work that each national parliament does on its own in this field.

Constitutional Law in the Netherlands-P. P. T. Bovend'Eert 2012 This book contains an outline of the constitutional law of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. It deals among others with the history of the Constitution, the form of state and the form of government, the head of state, the government, parliament, parliamentary scrutiny of government, legislation, the judiciary, fundamental rights and regional and local government. The slightly different Dutch version of this book, "Inleiding constitutioneel recht", has been a successful teaching tool in the Netherlands for about 15 years.

Committee on Government Operations and Estimates 2012

How Parliament Works -
Robert Rogers 2015-03-24
Written by expert insiders, How Parliament Works is a straightforward and readable analysis of one of the country's most complex – and often misunderstood – institutions. Covering every aspect of the work, membership and structures of both Houses, this key text provides a unique insight into the work and daily life of Parliament. It explains not only what happens but also why, and analyses the institution’s strengths and weaknesses, as well as opportunities for Parliament to be more effective. The seventh edition has been substantially revised to take account of recent changes in both Houses and to cover all the key issues affecting Parliament and politics, such as: • the Fixed Term Parliaments Act; • the implications of coalition politics; • recent developments in Lords reform; • the Independent Parliamentary Standards Authority's role in pay and expenses; • advances in scrutiny techniques; • changes in parliamentary cycles of business and finance; • member conduct and interests; • reform and modernisation. It also covers the latest developments in the legislative process, party discipline and rebellion, the procedure of both Houses, select committee work, and the relationship between Parliament and the European Union. All statistics and examples have been fully updated. How Parliament Works is essential reading for anyone who has anything to do with the Westminster Parliament: journalists, civil servants, lawyers, lobbyists, business and trade associations, diplomats, overseas parliaments and international bodies – and, indeed, members of both Houses.

Modernisation of the House of Commons -Great Britain. Parliament. House of Commons. Select Committee on Modernisation of the House of Commons 2001 This
The paper sets out proposed reforms of the House of Commons, as a basis for consultation. The reforms are designed to create a modern and more effective system for the parliamentary scrutiny of government, both in terms of its executive actions and in its legislation. The measures also aim to make the work of the Commons more accessible to the public and raise levels of public respect for Parliament. The proposed reforms include: shortening the length of parliamentary debates; reducing the notice period required for tabling a ministerial question at Question Time; the publication of Bills in draft form; enabling Bills to be carried over from one Session to the next; methods for scrutiny of the implementation of new laws and secondary legislation; changing the pattern of sitting hours, including an earlier start for proceedings in the Chamber and increased time for constituency work; altering recess periods; the introduction of modern technology for House procedures, including an electronic method of voting; widening press coverage of Parliamentary proceedings; live coverage of public committee proceedings on the internet; and an increased education service for schoolchildren. It does not offer proposals on the Select Committee system, as this issue will be covered in a forthcoming report by the Modernisation Committee.


**Parliamentary Scrutiny of EU Law Proposals in Denmark** - Daniel Finke 2012
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House of Commons - European Scrutiny Committee: Reforming the European Scrutiny Process in the House of Commons: Volume I - HC 109-I-Great Britain: Parliament: House of Commons: European Scrutiny Committee 2013-11-28 The depth and pace of EU integration has demonstrated the need for effective democratic parliamentary scrutiny and accountability of Government at Westminster. This is the first major inquiry into the European scrutiny system in the House of Commons for eight years. There is more that the Committee could do to look at the impact of new proposals. There should be a new requirement to appoint 'Reporters' to take the lead within Committees on EU issues, as well as a more coordinated approach to the Commission Work Programme. Whilst the system need not be scrapped as some have said, it must be enhanced. Many problems arise from the fact that new Members are appointed for each document. The Committee argues forcefully for a return to the permanent membership system, new powers and a change of name to reflect the Committees' core purpose: EU Document Debate Committees. The Committee also examined how EU business is taken on the floor of the House, and the procedures which apply to it. They set out a series of recommendations about the way debates are scheduled and conducted and put the case for a new session of 'EU Questions'. They also review working practices and the visibility of the House's scrutiny of the EU in the media. It concluded that now is the time to propose the introduction of a form of national veto over EU legislative proposals, and then to explore the mechanics of disapplication of parts of existing EU obligations, notwithstanding the European Communities Act 1972

Post-legislative Scrutiny - the Government's Approach-Great Britain.
Parliament. House of Commons. Office of the Leader of the House of Commons 2008-03-20 This Command Paper from the Office of the Leader of the House of Commons sets out a process for post-legislative scrutiny by the Government. The main proposal is that after 3 years any law that has been passed will undergo a review by the relevant Government Department and then Parliament to see how effective the law has been. The publication also includes an appendix with a detailed response to the Law Commission's report on Post-legislative scrutiny (Cm. 6945, ISBN 9780101694520).